

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

Infinite Master Magnetic,
Plaintiff
v.
State of Nevada,
Defendant

Case No.: 2:18-cv-00782-JAD-NJK

Order Adopting Report and Recommendation and Dismissing Case

[ECF No. 7]

Pro se plaintiff Infinite Master Magnetic applied to proceed *in forma pauperis*.¹ He represented that he is employed as a “Federal AGENT, King, Minister, [and] Admiral by Sea,” has more than \$125 billion in assets, and that he lives at and owns the FBI.² Because that pauper application was nonsensical, the court was unable to determine whether Infinite Master Magnetic is eligible for pauper status and denied his application.³

The court then gave Infinite Master Magnetic until June 4, 2018, to pay the filing fee or file a complete and accurate pauper application.⁴ The court expressly warned Infinite Master Magnetic that his case would be dismissed if he failed to meet that deadline.⁵ That deadline came and went without Infinite Master Magnetic filing a new pauper application. So, Magistrate Judge Koppe recommends that I dismiss this case, citing Infinite Master Magnetic's failure to comply with the court's order.

Judge Koppe issued her recommendation on June 12, 2018, making June 26, 2018, the deadline to file objections. That deadline expired three weeks ago, and Infinite Master Magnetic has not filed an objection. “[N]o review is required of a magistrate judge’s report and

¹ ECF No. 1.

2 Id.

³ ECF No. 3.

4 Id.

5 *Id.* at 1.

1 recommendation unless objections are filed.”⁶ Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that
2 Magistrate Judge Koppe’s report and recommendation [ECF No. 7] is ACCEPTED and
3 ADOPTED. This action is DISMISSED, and the Clerk of Court is directed to ENTER
4 JUDGMENT accordingly and CLOSE THIS CASE.

5 Dated: July 17, 2018

6 _____
7 U.S. District Judge Jennifer A. Dorsey
8 _____
9 _____
10 _____
11 _____
12 _____
13 _____
14 _____
15 _____
16 _____
17 _____
18 _____
19 _____
20 _____
21 _____
22 _____
23 _____
24 _____
25 _____
26 _____
27 _____
28 _____

⁶ *Schmidt v. Johnstone*, 263 F. Supp. 2d 1219, 1226 (D. Ariz. 2003); see also *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 150 (1985); *United States v. Reyna-Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003).